

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/608,912		06/27/2003	Steven J. Winick	H0003859 (16131)	7055
128	7590	03/23/2005		EXAM	INER
HONEYWI	ELL INT	ERNATIONAL IN	NGUYEN, QUYNH H		
101 COLUM P O BOX 22		AD	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	MORRISTOWN, NJ 07962-2245			2642	
				DATE MAILED: 03/23/2005	

DATE MARIED. 03/23/200

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/608,912	WINICK ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
<u> </u>	Quynh H Nguyen	2642					
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty of period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHY statute, cause the application to become ABAI	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	27 June 2003.						
	This action is non-final.						
•	,						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	thdrawn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exact 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 27 June 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection is Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the specific specif	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object to the drawing(s) be held in abeyanc correction is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for	nments have been received. Iments have been received in Appe priority documents have been re Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)	•						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su						
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-943) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Mail Date comal Patent Application (PTO-152) -					

Art Unit: 2642

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Boling 2. et al. (U.S. Patent 6,636,732).

As to claims 1 and 7, Boling et al. teach the steps of: scanning available cellular control channels (col. 10, lines 5-29) and measuring the signal strength of each cellular control channel (col. 10, lines 30-33); selecting a cellular control channel with the strongest signal strength (col. 10, lines 46-52) which is verified as being available for the assigned carrier to report a status of the fire alarm system (col. 1, lines 55-58); selecting a cellular control channel with a second strongest signal strength (it is inherent that if the A system channels have the strongest signal strength then the B system channels have the second strongest signal strength); transmitting a cellular radio message on the status of the fire alarm system over either of the cellular control channel with the strongest signal strength or the cellular control channel with the second strongest signal strength (Fig. 10B, 126 and col. 3, lines 25-27).

ं

As to claims 2 and 8, Boling et al. teach developing a channel list in which the cellular control channels are sorted and classified according to signal strength (col. 53-62).

As to claims 3, 5, 9, and 11, Boling et al. teach checking for availability of two or more channels with acceptable RSSI (greater than minimum threshold), and if two or more channels with acceptable RSSI are not available (col. 10, lines 36-41), then report a loss ("the low signal indicator light is illuminated"), and if two or more channels with acceptable RSSI are available, operate on the strongest channel (col. 10, lines 49-52).

As to claim 4, Boling et al. teach repeating and selecting a cellular control channel with the strongest signal strength until the last available channel is found (col. 10, lines 35-45).

Claims 6 and 12 are rejected for the same reasons as discussed above with respect to the third limitation of claim 1 and claim 3.

Claim 10 is rejected for the same reasons as discussed above with respect to the third limitation of claim 1.

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Karimullah (U.S. Patent 5,343,493) teaches personal assistance system and method for use with a cellular communication system.

Application/Control Number: 10/608,912

Art Unit: 2642

5:00 P.M.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Quynh H. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-7489. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday from 6:30 A.M. to

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad Matar, can be reached on 571-272-7488. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

qhn

Quynh H. Nguyen March 17, 2005

AHMAD MATAR
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Mhmue Mek

Page 4